

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8

9 METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE
10 COMPANY, a New York corporation,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 ACDF, LLC, a California limited liability
company, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.

Lead Case No. 1:24-cv-01261-KES-SAB

Consolidated with Case Nos:
1:24-cv-01226; 1:24-cv-01230; 1:24-cv-
01231; 1:24-cv-01232; 1:24-cv-01233; 1:24-
cv-01235; and 1:24-cv-01241

**STIPULATION AND ORDER BETWEEN
RECEIVER AND MARICOPA
DEFENDANTS TO EXTEND TIME FOR
FILING OF REPLIES IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SALE
PROCEDURES**

- 15 ☒ Affects All Cases
16 ☐ Affects Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v.
17 ACDF, LLC, et al., 1:24-cv-01261
18 ☐ Affects Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v.
FNF Farms, LLC, et al., 1:24-cv-01226
19 ☐ Affects Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. C
& A Farms, LLC, et al., 1:24-cv-01230
20 ☐ Affects Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v.
21 Maricopa Orchards, LLC, et al., 1:24-
cv-01231
22 ☐ Affects Brighthouse Life Ins. Co. v.
Kamm South, LLC, et al., 1:24-cv-
23 01232
24 ☐ Affects Brighthouse Life Ins. Co. v.
Manning Avenue Pistachios, LLC, et
25 al., 1:24-cv-01233 Case No. 1:24-cv-
01233
26 ☐ Affects Brighthouse Life Ins. Co. v.
ACDF, LLC, et al., 1:24-cv-01235
27 ☐ Affects MetLife Real Estate Lending,
28 LLC v. Panoche Pistachios, LLC, et
al., 1:24-cv-01241

1 Phillip Christensen, the Court-appointed Receiver in the above captioned case (the
2 “Receiver”), and the Maricopa Defendants (as defined in the Statement of Position in Response to
3 Ex Parte Application for Approval of Sale Procedures, Doc. 106 (the “Statement”)) hereby
4 stipulate as follows:

5 **Background**

6 1. On February 19, 2025, the Receiver filed the Receiver’s Motion for Approval of
7 Sale Procedures, Doc. 101 (the “Motion”).

8 2. The Court set the Motion for hearing on March 3, 2025, with oppositions due by
9 February 26, 2025, and replies due by March 1, 2025.

10 3. The Statement was filed by the Maricopa Defendants on February 26, 2025.

11 4. On February 27, 2025, the Court sua sponte continued the hearing on the Motion to
12 March 10, 2025.

13 5. The Receiver and the Maricopa Defendants have ongoing discussions relating to
14 the Receiver’s Motion and the Maricopa Defendants’ Statement.

15 6. In light of these facts, the Receiver and Maricopa Defendants agree that the
16 deadline for parties to file replies to the Statement should be extended from March 1, 2025 to
17 March 6, 2025 at 4:00 p.m.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Stipulation

1
2 1. Subject to the Court's approval, the Receiver and Maricopa Defendants, through
3 their undersigned counsel, agree that the deadline for the Receiver and other parties to file replies
4 to the Statement is extended, from March 1, 2025, to March 6, 2025 at 4:00 p.m.

5
6 DATED: March 3, 2025

SAUL EWING LLP

7
8 By: 

9 ZEV SHECHTMAN

10 Attorneys for Phillip Christensen, as Receiver

11
12 DATED: March 3, 2025

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

13
14 By: 

15 JANE KIM

16 Attorneys for Maricopa Defendants
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Pursuant to the stipulation of the Receiver and Maricopa Defendants, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that deadline for the Receiver and other parties to file replies to the Statement is extended, from March 1, 2025, to March 6, 2025 at 4:00 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 5, 2025



UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE